

Water Resources Planning Committee

Minutes from **August 8, 3:00-4:00pm**

Look for your **name** in **underlined bold letters**, which indicates a request for information or action. The **everyone** tag applies, as you would expect, to everyone.

Next Meeting: Our next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, August 8, 3:00-4:00pm, in RSW 035.

Attendees:

Kristan Cockerill, Mike Mayfield, Bill Anderson, Chris Thaxton.

Absent:

Patrick Beville, Jana Carp, Robert Creed, Shea Tuberty, Jeff Colby, Gabrielle Katz, Carol Babyak, Julie Elmore, George Santucci, Gary Walker, Mike Windelspecht, Wendy Patoprtsy.

New documents available:

- These meeting minutes (from August 8):
http://pimlico.phys.appstate.edu/WRPC/WRPC_MM_Aug082007.pdf
- The recommendations document (v2.0) is at:
<http://pimlico.phys.appstate.edu/WRPC/KCRD/>

Topics Discussed.

It was all about the KCRD (recommendations document). We have a new schedule for delivery.

August 24	Deadline - All input for v3.0
August 27	v3.0 to be posted to KCRD website
September 14	Deadline – Final version
September 21	Meet – Preparation for meeting with Stan
September 28	Proposed date of delivery and presentation (meeting) to Stan.

It was decided that we needed more time to finish the document and, given that our meeting with Stan wouldn't be until September anyway, this works out just fine. However, any major outstanding input should still be delivered before classes start if possible (for obvious reasons) – this the August 24 deadline for v3.0 input.

We need to decide on a regular Fall meeting schedule – please let me know your preferred times by August 21.

We walked through the document by chapter and came up with the following general updates (to be included in v3.0).

- Standardize reference to “Kraut Creek” to be “Boone Creek”

- We must not endorse specific BMP or in-stream strategies – we should only carefully cite them as examples, so, throughout the document, if you see this happening anywhere, please flag it and let me know for the next pass.
- **Kristan C.** will provide new verbage for the first part of the executive summary.
- We will combine chapters 6 and 7 into one chapter entitled “Resources” or “Required resources” or something like that.
- We included a new sheet after the TOC with all of our names – we should all sign the document to demonstrate that we all had input on this.
- We should try to work into the design and policy recommendations the fact that we are looking to build on work already done (acknowledging D&C work and others) – **Chris T** to take initial stab at this, with input from others upon request.
- Chapter break-down:
- Ch 2:
 - Yellow highlighted part (in v2.0) will be deleted
 - **Chris T** to insert image of flood event
 - **Chris T** to update subsection labels (3.x to 2.x)
 - **Chris T** to place a summary “wrap-up” section at the beginning – stressing “what is wrong in a nutshell”.
 - **Gary W.** – is the list of flora in Kraut Creek a good list or bad? We couldn’t figure out if things were good or not. Can you relate your findings to references reaches? In other words, how does the current survey relate in context to how the creek was pre-development?
- Ch 3:
 - Update verbage in opening section to note that we desire a dynamic ecological and geomorphic endpoint (not just ecological). **Chris T** will add this text and **Mike M.** will carefully review it after August 27 update.
 - **Mike M** is to expand section 3.B.iii – for example, we likely would recommend (or expect) a compromise between eco- and hydro-...dissipation methods for hydrological needs are not commonly found in ideal stream remediations (e.g. j-hooks and cross-veins).
 - Again, we must not endorse specific BMP or in-stream strategies. We should include detention basins in the example BMPs (**Chris T** to do this).
- Ch 4:
 - Need to make it more clear that this is an umbrella document that encompasses Kraut Creek (**Kristan C.** were you going to do this?)
 - Make section 4.A our 6th recommendation (which would be consistent with the operation director of sustainability recommendation)
 - Cut out all the remaining sections.
- Ch 5:
 - Chris T to include 1st stab at 5.A in v3.0
 - Kristan C – take out names in the table 5.1 and include the number of faculty and students from each department. To include a text note expanding on usage of the stream.
 - Chris T to make sure that the remaining sections are not Kraut Creek specific (at least, to treat KC as an example).

- Mike M to update section 5.F to mention flood amplitude and frequency risk of non-implementation.
- Ch 6 to be combined with Ch 7:
 - All outstanding input is still needed – we are not removing sections, just renumbering
 - We can estimate costs incurred by this committee – let's do this at our next meeting.
 - Can include examples of funding requirements, like the \$1.2M estimate for D&C's varsity gym project
- We will remove the Summary chapter
- We will update the glossary at the very end.